



Form-meaning mismatches

Wh-less degree questions

Supervisors: Uwe Junghanns, Stavros Skopeteas

PhD Student: Karen Hovind

I. The form-meaning mismatch

The wh-less degree questions, or Null-Degree Questions (NDQs; Svenonius and Kennedy 2006, S&K), found in dialectal Norwegian are an instance of 0:1 form-meaning mismatch: while they are unambiguously interpreted as

IV. Hypotheses and method

Two main working hypotheses will be investigated:i. NDQs are morphosyntactically wh-questionsli. NDQs are mophosyntactically polar questions.

constituent questions asking for degrees (S&K 2006), they involve no (overt) morphosyntactic wh-component. This also renders them string-identical to polar questions (PQs). Several factors, however, set them apart from regular PQs, one of which being the phonological profile of the NDQ (marked by capital letters):

- (1) ER du gammel? HAR du mange katte?
 are you old have you many cats
 "How old are you? How many cats do you have?"
- On the one side: S&K (2006) find that NDQs are not PQs, neither morphosyntactically nor semantically, and offer a formal analysis based on the analogy of the Icelandic *hvað*-construction, involving a covert wh-operator in SpecCP. This further explains the inversion word-order found in most NDQs.
- On the other: A covert-wh-analysis is challenged by other distributional facts particularly from embedded NDOs

- More extensive empirical data will be gathered through one or more of the following methods:
- i. Fieldwork
- ii. In-depth work with informants (also via Skype)
- iii. Questionnaires
- iv. Mining of text corpora
- v. Online gathering of judgements using Amazon Mechanical Turk
- vi. Analysis of prosodic patterns using the PRAAT software (Boersma & Weenik 2007)
- How the PhD student proceeds:
- . Detailed analysis of empirical data on the NDQ and related phenomena (potentially cross-linguistic comparisons with Icelandic, Swedish, German etc.)
- ii. Based on the generalizations found, a formal analysis of the data is to be developed, taking into account the

distributional facts, particularly from embedded NDQs (i.a. headed by polar complementisers). As it stands, the NDQ seems to pattern partly as a wh-question, and partly as a PQ. Other factors relating to in-situ/ex-situ readings and island constraints, raise further questions.

preliminary hypotheses, and different levels of linguistic analyses.

II. Motivation

The NDQ, and its distribution, is not easily captured by central assumptions about wh-constructions and the relation between syntax and semantics. A further investigation into the NDQ and its related phenomena, could shed new light on the syntax and typology of wh- and interrogative clauses, degree expressions, island constraints and extraction.

V. Connections to other research projects

- Type of form-meaning mismatch: 7,8,9 (0:1 formmeaning mismatch)
- Empirical domain: 4,7,10 (Language variation across modalities)
- Content: **6,10**
- Methods: 4,9 (cross-linguistic comparison), 2 (fieldwork)
 virtually all (corpus study)

III. Research questions

- What is the morphosyntactic status NDQ, and why does it overlap with PQ morphosyntax?
- How does the NDQ relate to other known null-degree constructions (e.g. V1-exclamatives in Swedish and German)?
- How do NDQs compare with overt wh-degree questions, both cross-linguistically, and specifically in the same dialectal varieties?
- Do NDQs have a specific pragmatic profile, and if so, how do they differ from other wh-questions?

VI. Possible follow-up studies

- 1. Overt stranding wh-degree operators (Icelandic and (undocumented) Norwegian Helgeland dialects)
- 2. The polar complementiser *om* ('if'), and its varied distribution (a potential 1:many form-meaning mismatch)
- 3. Many:1 form-meaning mismatch in Norwegian (and Northern Germanic) wh-questions (stranding vs. pied-piping, ex-situ vs. in-situ, overt vs. covert)